



Review Article

NUTRITIONAL ANALYSIS OF AZOLLA AND ITS POTENTIAL AS A PROTEIN SUPPLEMENT FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION: A REVIEW

Aniketh Pinnada and ^{1*}Apurva Kumar R Joshi

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Jain (Deemed to be University), JC Road Sampangi Ramanagara Road, Karnataka, Bengaluru 560027, India

Article History: Received 19th September 2025; Accepted 27th October 2025; Published 1st November 2025

ABSTRACT

Proteins are essential for numerous physiological processes. While traditional animal-derived proteins are nutritionally superior, their production has significant environmental burdens. Plant-based alternatives, though more sustainable, face challenges such as incomplete amino acid profiles, poor digestibility, and undesirable sensory attributes. Azolla, a fast-growing aquatic fern that is symbiotically associated with nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria, has emerged as a potential sustainable protein source. This review explores the nutritional profile, digestibility, and amino acid composition of four Azolla species commonly found in India. Crude protein levels ranged from 19% to 42%, with promising essential amino acid content. The review also compares Azolla's potential with existing plant protein supplements such as soy, pea, chickpea, and potato, highlighting both benefits and limitations. Notably, Azolla has demonstrated efficacy as an economical feed supplement in poultry, livestock, and aquaculture sectors, improving growth performance and reducing production costs. Preliminary studies on Azolla protein hydrolysates suggest favourable digestibility and bioactivity, including antioxidant and antihypertensive effects, however its direct application in human nutrition remains underexplored.

Keywords: Azolla, Plant proteins, Protein energy malnutrition, Protein hydrolysates.

INTRODUCTION

Proteins are fundamental components of cells and are involved in diverse functions that are crucial for cellular processes (Fraga *et al.*, 1995; Widłak, 2013). They act as catalysts (salivary amylase, DNA polymerase), receptors (GPCR's, TLR's), hormones (Insulin, oxytocin), carriers (haemoglobin, transferrin), membrane channels (Voltage-gated sodium channels, aquaporins), and structural elements (microfilaments, collagen), among many other roles (Fraga *et al.*, 1995; Lane *et al.*, 2007). Protein requirements for adults vary based on physical activity levels, sedentary individuals are recommended a daily dietary allowance of 0.85 g/kg of body weight and for adults with moderate or intense physical activity, 1.3g and 1.6g per kilogram body weight per day are recommended respectively (Campbell *et al.*, 2008; *Recommended Dietary Allowances*, 1989; Tarnopolsky *et al.*, 1992). Humans rely on consumption of plants and animals to meet their daily

protein needs and recent studies indicate that protein consumption patterns vary globally, with animal-based protein intake generally higher in developed countries compared to developing countries (Henchion *et al.*, 2021). Traditional animal-based proteins like meat and eggs are the gold standard due to their complete amino acid profiles, rapid post-prandial blood amino acid levels and superior digestibility. Economic development and urbanization have driven increased animal-based protein consumption worldwide, going from 61g to 80g per person per day between 1961 and 2011 (Sans & Combris, 2016).

Developing nations like the Indian subcontinent largely depends on plant- and cereal- based sources to meet their daily protein needs due to socioeconomical, religious, and cultural reasons as a result of which a significant percentage of the population falls within the protein energy malnutrition (PEM) bracket (Zhang *et al.*, 2022). Data from NHFS-5 2019-2020 showed 36% stunting, 32% underweight, and 19% wasting in children under the age of

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Apurva Kumar R Joshi, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Jain (Deemed to be University), India. Email: r.apurvakumar@jainuniversity.ac.in

5 in India (International Institute for Population Sciences, 2022). In a tribal area of Parol, Thane district, Maharashtra, a study of 225 children under the age of 5 reported 34.67% stunting, 38.67% underweight, and 36% wasting (Shingade, 2023). A study of 300 children from 6 urban slums in Behrampur city, Odisha, reported 55.3% underweight, 75% wasting, and 42% stunting respectively (Sethy *et al.*, 2017). A cross-sectional descriptive study, with a sample size of 1332 children aged 1-5 conducted at the Fatehpur Beri Urban Primary Health Centre (UPHC) South Delhi reported 27.2% stunting, 24.5% underweight, and 17.8% wasting (Goyal *et al.*, 2023). A different cross-sectional study of 517 children between the ages 0-59 months from rural areas of Jabalpur district, Madhya Pradesh, reported 35.8% underweight, 41.4% stunting, and 19.7% wasting (Toppo, 2018). Pulses account for 11-14% of the total protein intake, and although there is no specific data indicating the percentage of cereal protein consumption, this can be inferred from consumption patterns and the protein content of cereals. The Comprehensive National Nutrition Survey 2016-18 conducted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), India, showed in most states, children between the ages 5-19 years consumed cereals on average >85% (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government of India *et al.*, 2019). (Deepak *et al.*, 2025) examined the annual consumption pattern of cereals and found that rice consistently accounted for the highest proportion (108.44 kg/capita/year), followed by wheat (60.38 kg/capita/year), maize (7.63 kg/capita/year), and millets (6.61 kg/capita/year). Protein content of whole wheat flour was reported to be 12.84±0.02 g/100g of flour and 14.74±0.03 g/100g for 48h sprouted wheat flour (Perveen *et al.*, 2024). A study on two different types of rice, Bapata (BPT, white rice) and Uma (coloured rice), commonly consumed in Tamil Nadu and Kerala showed that raw BPT contained 7.8±0.2 g of protein per 100g of rice and raw Uma contained 7.6±0.3 g of protein per 100g of rice (Shobana *et al.*, 2011).

A study on raw sorghum (colloquially termed jowar) showed it contains 11.36±0.04 g of protein per 100g (Mohapatra *et al.*, 2019). While animal-based proteins have their benefits, many studies have shown the negative effects of meat production on the environment, it utilizing 1/3rd of the global land area and generating 14% of all human-caused greenhouse gas emissions (Gerber & Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations., 2013; Opio *et al.*, 2011; M. Swain *et al.*, 2018). With the global population projected to reach 9-10 billion by the year 2050 roughly resulting in 60% increase in protein demand, it is bound to increase the logistical challenges of keeping up with the exponential protein needs (Andreoli *et al.*, 2021; Baldi & Gottardo, 2017; United Nations Department For Economic And Social Affairs, 2025). In view of increasing health awareness and ethical concerns among consumers, preferences have shifted to vegetarianism and veganism with plant-based proteins receiving widespread acceptance. Several fern genera, including Diplazium, and Azollaceae are also being explored as potential alternatives due to their

reported high protein content, ranging from 12.10% to 28.44% (Mohan Gupta *et al.*, 2020; Deepak S. Patil, 2021).

Azolla is a genus of small floating aquatic ferns belonging to the family Salviniaceae, order Salviniiales (Pereira, 2017). Taxonomically they are divided into two subgenera: Euazolla which includes *A. filiculoides*, *A. mexicana*, *A. caroliniana*, *A. microphylla*, and *A. rubra*; Rhizosperma which contains *A. pinnata*, *A. nilotica*, and *A. imbricata* (Korsa *et al.*, 2024; Yang *et al.*, 2022). Morphologically, they are 1-2cm in diameter with triangular or polygonal fronds, consists of a submerged lower ventral lobe that keeps them buoyant and an upper dorsal lobe that captures light, water, and CO₂ for photosynthesis. Azolla reproduces both sexually through specialized spores and asexually through fragmentation (Salazar *et al.*, 2025). Due to its symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria *Anabaena azollae*, azolla exhibits rapid growth, capable of doubling its biomass in just 2-5 days. This review aims to explore the nutritional profile of *Azolla spp.* found in India, compare it with current plant-based protein supplements, highlight its successful application in the poultry industry, and discuss its potential and challenges as a protein supplement for human consumption.

Current Landscape of Plant-Based Protein Supplements

Proteins from pea, soy, hemp, potato, rice, chickpea, faba beans, lentils, and quinoa are gaining popularity as they offer comparable nutritional quality to animal proteins. Although plant-based proteins are associated with lower cardiovascular, lower inflammatory diseases and diabetes risks, they generally have poor digestibility and lower essential amino acid content than animal proteins (Gorissen & Witard, 2018; Mariotti, 2019). The presence of anti-nutrients such as glucosinolates, phenolic compounds, and phytic acid, along with digestive enzyme inhibitors induce undesirable flavours, adding complexity to the isolation process. Though recent advances in food technology have enabled the modification of these proteins to overcome digestibility issues—through processes such as isolation, concentration, hydrolysis, and fermentation—these techniques add to the production costs which is ultimately transferred to consumers (Ahnen *et al.*, 2019; Kostrakiewicz-Gierałt, 2024).

Soy Proteins

Protein digestibility-corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS) is a method used for the measurement of protein quality in human nutrition. It is calculated by comparing the concentration of the first limiting essential amino acid in the test protein with the concentration of the same amino acid in a reference protein and correcting it by multiplying with the true digestibility of the protein. A PDCAAS value of 1.00 would indicate that the protein provides necessary amounts of essential amino acids (Schaafsma, 2000). With a PDCAAS value of 0.92 – 1.00, soy protein represents one of the most nutritionally complete plant-based protein sources and demonstrates high digestibility and nutritional

quality comparable to animal proteins (Hughes *et al.*, 2011). Its consumption has been associated with improved cardiovascular health by lowering total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides as well as metabolic health particularly in insulin sensitivity (Azadbakht *et al.*, 2007; Faria *et al.*, 2018; Hoie *et al.*, 2007). The most notable concern is allergenicity, with soy being recognized as a major food allergen that limits its use in many food applications (Kutzli *et al.*, 2021). Soy proteins are particularly affected by "beany" and "grassy" odors, which result from a combination of over 20 volatile compounds produced during soybean growth and processing (Sakai *et al.*, 2022). The beany flavor is also linked to derivatives of secondary lipid oxidation and naturally occurring bitter-astringent tastes from saponins and isoflavones.

Pea Proteins

Pea protein concentrates, with a PDCAAS value of 0.89 (Rutherford *et al.*, 2015), has shown satiety-increasing properties, blood pressure lowering-effects, potential to lower postprandial TAG levels, and a possibility to mediate the glycemic response (Braden *et al.*, 2023; Li *et al.*, 2011; Marinangeli *et al.*, 2009; Marinangeli & Jones, 2011). Pea proteins face similar taste challenges, with products often associated with distinct off-flavors described as "beany" or "vegetal" caused by aldehyde molecules. Other volatile molecules related to off-flavours including alcohols, ketones, and pyrazines, increase significantly as pea protein concentrations increases in food formulations. Sensory evaluation confirms that pea protein addition results in bitter and metallic tastes, as well as astringent aftertastes, likely resulting from isoflavones, phenolic acids, and saponins (Flores *et al.*, 2023; McCarron *et al.*, 2024).

Potato Proteins

Potato protein with a PDCAAS of 0.56 (after boiling) is lower than other plant proteins like wheat and soy but contains all nine essential amino acids required by the human body (Bailey *et al.*, 2023). Research has shown that potato proteins can effectively stimulate muscle protein

synthesis, can improve cholesterol homeostasis, has anti-hypertensive properties, has anti-obesity properties, anti-inflammatory/ antioxidant properties (Kaspar *et al.*, 2011; Liu *et al.*, 2022; Liyanage *et al.*, 2009; Oikawa *et al.*, 2020; Pihlanto *et al.*, 2008; Robert *et al.*, 2006). Beyond the core health benefits, potato protein demonstrates versatility as a functional ingredient such as being an effective carrier of vitamin B₁₂ and other hydrophobic bioactive compounds (Mendes *et al.*, 2020). Lysine plays a crucial role in protein synthesis to maintain positive nitrogen balance, cross-linkage in type 1 collagen, is a precursor in carnitine synthesis and glutamate synthesis in mammalian brains (Papes *et al.*, 2001; Tanphaichitr *et al.*, 1971; Yamauchi & Shiiba, 2008; Zello *et al.*, 1993). Given its high lysine content, it can be consumed by persons relying on cereals for proteins as lysine is a limiting essential amino acid in cereals.

Chickpea protein

Chickpea protein is a highly nutritious, cost-effective protein source with a PDCAAS between 0.75 – 0.84 (Nosworthy *et al.*, 2020). The health benefits of chickpea protein include short term increased insulin sensitivity, various bioactive properties including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition after alcalase treatment, antioxidant activity, anti-inflammatory effects, hypoglycemic properties, and anticarcinogenic activity (Chino *et al.*, 2017; Ercan & El, 2016; Johnson *et al.*, 2005; Magee *et al.*, 2012; Nestel *et al.*, 2004; Torres-Fuentes *et al.*, 2015; Yust *et al.*, 2003). The removal of off-flavors remains a major challenge across all pulse proteins, with few mitigation methods proving successful. Chickpea and other pulse proteins share a persistent beany flavour which is a limiting factor for major consumer acceptance.

Nutritional Profile of *Azolla*

The mineral content and amino acid profiles of commonly found *Azolla* species in India is summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

Table 1. Amino acid profiles of *Azolla* species found in India.

Essential Amino acid (EAA)	<i>Azolla caroliniana</i> ^a (Anokhi Chandrababu <i>et al.</i> , 2024)	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> ^a (Anokhi Chandrababu <i>et al.</i> , 2024)	<i>Azolla filiculoides</i> ^b (Leterme <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	<i>Azolla microphylla</i> ^a (Sanginga & Van Hove, 1989)
Arginine	5.66±0.30	5.97±0.28	0.61	1.90
Histidine	2.55±0.10	2.59±0.27	0.26	0.47
Isoleucine	5.19±0.28	5.51±0.21	0.48	1.07
Leucine	9.30±0.19	9.76±0.15	0.94	2.29
Lysine	4.39±0.09	4.28±0.04	0.53	1.62
Methionine	1.29±0.21	1.41±0.05	0.17	0.43
Phenylalanine	6.06±0.50	6.06±0.5	0.55	2.17
Threonine	5.29±0.14	5.35±0.29	0.53	1.13
Tryptophan	1.36±0.04	1.41±0.03	0.15	-
Valine	6.73±0.19	6.54±0.18	0.62	1.07

Non-essential amino

acids (NEAA)

Alanine	7.34±0.15	7.27±0.11	0.75	1.45
Aspartic acid	10.99±0.41	10.50±0.80	1.05	2.33
Cysteine	0.16±0.02	0.09±0.05	0.23	0.43
Glutamic Acid	14.46±0.38	13.75±0.25	1.4	4.04
Glycine	5.84±0.06	5.47±0.22	0.68	1.26
Proline	4.20±0.13	4.43±0.08	0.85	-
Serine	4.20±0.13	5.34±0.1	0.56	1.07
Tyrosine	4.02±0.11	4.28±0.22	0.37	2.17

^a expressed as g/100g dry weight, ^b expressed as g/100g of protein

Table 2. Mineral composition of Azolla species.

Minerals	<i>A.caroliniana</i> ^a (Anokhi Chandrababu <i>et al.</i> , 2024)	<i>A.pinnata</i> ^a (Anokhi Chandrababu <i>et al.</i> , 2024)	<i>A.filiculoides</i> ^b (Leterme <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	<i>A.microphylla</i> ^c (Seephueak <i>et al.</i> , 2025)
S	-	-	0.39	-
Ca	0.5684	0.594	0.93	1.1
K	1.2670	1.447	2.25	1.8
P	0.2628	0.252	0.346	0.5
Na	0.1533	0.150	0.277	0.3
Zn	0.0054	0.006	0.0029	-
Al	0.0462	0.499	-	-
Mn	0.0482	0.038	0.142	-
Fe	0.3857	0.322	0.172	80
Cu	0.0038	0.005	0.013	-

^a mineral content expressed as ppm, ^b mineral content expressed as g/kg DM, ^c expressed as g/100g DM

Proximate composition

Crude protein

Crude protein in *A.pinnata* on average was 26.5±0.08% on DM basis (Bhatt *et al.*, 2020; Said *et al.*, 2023). *A.caroliniana* was found to contain 19.0% ± 0.5% of which 14.91 g per 100g is digestible protein (Winstead *et al.*, 2024) and *A.filiculoides* contained 19.5±1.8% protein on DM basis. (Brouwer *et al.*, 2018). *A.microphylla* showed to contain 36% to 42% protein when quail manure was used during cultivation (Latif *et al.*, 2023). Another study reported *A.filiculoides* to contain 23.2% protein on DM basis. (Leterme *et al.*, 2009).

Moisture

Moisture content was significantly high in *A. microphylla* 93-95% and *A. caroliniana* was shown to have a mean moisture content of 91.81±0.03% (Anokhi Chandrababu *et al.*, 2024). *A.pinnata* had an average moisture content of 90.87% (Said *et al.*, 2023) which is significantly higher than 67±1.44% reported by another study (Das *et al.*, 2018).

Ash Content

One study reported total ash content of *A.pinnata* to be 20.3±0.28% (Bhatt *et al.*, 2020), which is less when

compared to 31.2% reported by another study (Said *et al.*, 2023). *A.caroliniana* was reported to contain 12% total ash (Azhar *et al.*, 2018) while a different study reported 19.6±1.47% (Kösesakal & Yıldız, 2019). *A.microphylla* was shown to have a total ash content of 19.23%. (Latif *et al.*, 2023). *A.filiculoides* had 19.9±0.07% (Hamidan *et al.*, 2024) which is higher than previously reported 11.2% by a separate study (Leterme *et al.*, 2009)

Dietary Fiber

A.pinnata was reported with NDF 44.28±0.18% ADF 39.4±0.06% (Bhatt *et al.*, 2020) which is significantly higher compared to 11.15%. reported by another study (Said *et al.*, 2023). *A.microphylla* was shown to contain fiber at 7% (Latif *et al.*, 2023) which is significantly less compared to the 18.07% reported by another study (Azhar *et al.*, 2018). *A. caroliniana* was shown to have 13.44% total fibre (Azhar *et al.*, 2018). A study in *A.filiculoides* reported NDF and ADF values 52.3% and 33.3% respectively (Leterme *et al.*, 2009).

Azolla protein hydrolysates

Protein hydrolysates show several advantages over whole proteins in terms of digestibility, absorption, and biological activity. Research has shown that hydrolysates are absorbed more swiftly and efficiently than whole proteins leading to greater plasma amino acid availability. They also possess various bioactive properties, including antioxidant,

antihypertensive, and hypoglycemic activities (Nasri, 2017). A study analysed the effect of *A.pinnata* protein hydrolysates (AFPH) as an O/W emulsion system on the human gut microbiota and were assessed for cytotoxic effects (Qoms *et al.*, 2024). AFPH were prepared by hydrolysing Azolla fern protein concentrates (AFPC) with Alcalase in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.5, 50mM), at a 3:100 w/w ratio for 6h at 55 °C. The study reported no cytotoxic effects on colon cells, with a survival rate of >95%. Additionally, it showed increased populations of *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacilli*, and great antihypertensive, antidiabetic, and antioxidant activities, under different conditions. Despite studies reporting high protein content in azolla, very few reports have explored the safety and efficacy of AFP (azolla fern protein) or AFPH.

Azolla in the Poultry Industry

With an excellent protein content and essential amino acid content and ease of bio-production of Azolla makes it one of the cheapest, economic, potential, and maintainable feed alternatives for livestock and poultry

Poultry birds

Research shows that Azolla meal can be successfully incorporated into broiler chicken diets at varying levels with positive results in FCR, egg production, meat tenderness and body weight gain. Inclusion levels of 5% as a feed ingredient to replace sesame meal improved growth rate, FCR, and energy efficiency without negative effects on mortalities (Namra *et al.*, 2010; Pramanik, 2002). One study showed no adverse effects in broilers with a maximum inclusion level of 7.5% of feed with Azolla (S. P. Sahu *et al.*, 2021). Another study found that a 15% inclusion led to the highest body weight gain with no adverse effects on growth performance (Samad *et al.*, 2020). Studies have also shown that egg production in chicken increased from 47 to 53.2 per bird when fed 100g fresh azolla per bird per day (Bidya Shankar Sinha *et al.*, 2018). Chickens fed 200 g/kg Azolla instead of sesame oil had good egg mass and feed conversion efficiency as reported by another study (Khatun *et al.*, 1999). A study on Pekin laying ducks reported an increase in the same parameters (B. Swain *et al.*, 2018). From the above studies, inclusion levels of 5-7% were found to be appropriate for safe and profitable broiler production due to reduced FCR, mortalities, and production costs (Islam & Nishibori, 2016).

Livestock and cattle

Due to climate changes, there are reported shortages of green fodder for dairy animals and Azolla with its low lignin content, great protein concentrations and enhanced yield is an excellent alternative to green fodder and dairy feed (G. Kumar & Chander, 2017). In cross breed dairy cows supplemented with 1.5-2 kg of Azolla along with concentrate feed an increased milk yield of 11% was reported (A. S. Kumar *et al.*, 2020). A study on lactating buffaloes reported that after 60 days of feeding 1.5 kg of

fresh Azolla per day with conventional feed cottonseed cake, the milk yield increased by 1.30L/day on average, 9.30 L/day from 8.0 L/day (Meena *et al.*, 2017). A report by (Sihag *et al.*, 2018) showed that 15% of sundried Azolla can replace concentrated mixes of goat diets without any adverse effects, reducing feed costs for goat farmers. Replacing 25% linseed cake by 6% Azolla in the diets of Corriedale sheep increased body weight, DM digestibility and FCR (El Naggar & El-Mesery, 2022). A study on *A.microphylla* demonstrated that it can replace 50% of soybean meal in small scale farms in Thailand reducing the production cost for them (Seephueak *et al.*, 2025).

Aquaculture

Fishmeal is the primary source of proteins used in aquatic feed and is prepared from wild fish causing their populations to decrease. This overreliance on wild fishes is driving up production costs and has restrained the growth of aquaculture. Azolla offers a viable solution and can be used as potential protein alternative with research on aquatic species including common carp, redbelly tilapia, catfish, fringed-lipped carp, calbasu, thai silver barb, tilapia, rohu and freshwater prawn showing improved growth and health (Yohana *et al.*, 2023). A study showed that incorporating 40% of azolla in the diet of *L.fimbriatus* during fry-to-fingerling does not affect fish growth and survival but is 24.48% cheaper than the control diet used (Gangadhar *et al.*, 2015). A report on *A. pinnata* demonstrated that 25% of commercial fish feed (CFF) can be substituted with *A.pinnata* reducing the overreliance on CFF and production costs (Das *et al.*, 2018). (Lumsangkul *et al.*, 2022) reported enhanced serum and skin mucus immunities against *S. agalactiae* in Nile tilapia when fed 100g kg⁻¹ under bio-floc conditions, demonstrating immune enhancing capabilities of Azolla. (Mosha *et al.*, 2020) reported significant average daily gain and specific growth rate, higher total serum proteins, respiratory burst activity, lysozymes/myeloperoxidase activity in GIFT tilapia at 15% inclusion level of azolla in feed.

Azolla as a Suitable Alternative for Human Consumption

Although current literature illustrates the potential and usage of Azolla in animal feed applications, much less is known about direct human consumption. Given its rapid biomass doubling and minimal cultivation requirements, Azolla is a promising candidate that offers a climate-resilient, scalable food alternative in regions of food insecurity and environmental stress. To make this transition from animal feed to human superfood, critical research gaps like toxicology, digestibility, protein bioavailability, sensory acceptability and allergenicity of these protein concentrates/hydrolysates must be addressed. Further research should also explore ways to genetically improve, boosting essential amino acid content and reducing anti-nutritional factors. By integrating Azolla into sustainable food systems, we can address global food insecurities and

be used as an efficient protein source in interstellar space travel.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors wish to thank JAIN (deemed to be University), Bangalore for the support in the form of a Minor Research Project awarded to Dr Apurva.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest

ETHICS APPROVAL

Not applicable

FUNDING

This study received no specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agencies.

AI TOOL DECLARATION

The authors declares that no AI and related tools are used to write the scientific content of this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be available on request

REFERENCE

- Ahnen, R. T., Jonnalagadda, S. S., & Slavin, J. L. (2019). Role of plant protein in nutrition, wellness, and health. *Nutrition Reviews*, 77(11), 735–747. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuz028>.
- Andreoli, V., Bagliani, M., Corsi, A., & Frontuto, V. (2021). Drivers of protein consumption: A cross-country analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137399>.
- Anokhi Chandrababu, K., Parvathy, U., Meenu, B., & Binsi, P. K. (2024). Unveiling the nutritional treasure trove: A comparative analysis of two azolla species for potential food applications. *Food and Humanity*, 3, 100444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2024.100444>.
- Azadbakht, L., Kimiagar, M., Mehrabi, Y., Esmailzadeh, A., Padyab, M., Hu, F. B., & Willett, W. C. (2007). Soy inclusion in the diet improves features of the metabolic syndrome: A randomized crossover study in postmenopausal women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 85(3), 735–741. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/85.3.735>.
- Azhar, M., Pervez, S., Panda, B. P., & Gupta, S. K. (2018). *Cultivation, processing and analysis of azolla*

microphylla and azolla caroliniana as potential source for nutraceutical ingredients. 5.

- Bailey, T., Franczyk, A. J., Goldberg, E. M., & House, J. D. (2023). Impact of cooking on the protein quality of russet potatoes. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 11(12), 8131–8142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.3734>.
- Baldi, A., & Gottardo, D. (2017). Livestock production to feed the planet. Animal protein: A forecast of global demand over the next years. *Relations. Beyond Anthropocentrism*, 5(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.7358/rela-2017-001-bald>.
- Bhatt, N., Chandra, R., Kumar, S., Singh, K., Singh, N. P., Neesh, R., Kumar, A., Gh, S., & Singh Chauhan, S. P. (2020). Nutritive analysis of azolla pinnata and its cultivation during winter season. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(3), 2012–2018. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.233>.
- Bidya Shankar Sinha, Sanjeet Kumar, & Govind Kumar Chudhary. (2018). Use of Fresh Azolla as Dietary Supplementation in Backyard Poultry. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7.
- Braden, M. L., Gwin, J. A., & Leidy, H. J. (2023). Protein source influences acute appetite and satiety but not subsequent food intake in healthy adults. *Journal of Nutrition*, 153(6), 1825–1833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2023.04.001>.
- Brouwer, P., Schluempmann, H., Nierop, K. G., Elderson, J., Bijl, P. K., van der Meer, I., de Visser, W., Reichart, G.-J., Smeekens, S., & van der Werf, A. (2018). Growing azolla to produce sustainable protein feed: The effect of differing species and CO2 concentrations on biomass productivity and chemical composition. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 98(12), 4759–4768. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9016>.
- Campbell, W. W., Johnson, C. A., McCabe, G. P., & Carnell, N. S. (2008). Dietary protein requirements of younger and older adults. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 88(5), 1322–1329. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.26072>.
- Chino, X. M. S., Martínez, C. J., Garzón, V. R. V., González, I. Á., Treviño, S. V., Bujaidar, E. M., Ortiz, G. D., & Hoyos, R. B. (2017). Cooked chickpea consumption inhibits colon carcinogenesis in mice induced with azoxymethane and dextran sulfate sodium. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 36(5), 391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2017.1297744>.
- Das, M., Rahim, F. I., & Hossain, M. A. (2018). Evaluation of fresh azolla pinnata as a low-cost supplemental feed for thai silver barb *barbonymus gonionotus*. *Fishes*, 3(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes3010015>.
- Deepak, I. P., Dharni, K., Ranganath, G., & Manish, K. L. (2025). Trends in production, consumption and international trade of cereals for India. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 31(5), 621–632. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2025/v31i53059>.

- Deepak S. Patil, S. D. N. (2021). Performance of yield and nutritional quality of azolla pinnata cultivated under different water sources. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(12), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2021.1012.006>.
- El Naggar, S., & El-Mesery, H. S. (2022). Azolla pinnata as unconventional feeds for ruminant feeding. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 46(1), 66. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-022-00752-w>.
- Ercan, P., & El, S. N. (2016). Inhibitory effects of chickpea and *tribulus terrestris* on lipase, α -amylase and α -glucosidase. *Food Chemistry*, 205, 163–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.012>.
- Faria, W. C. S., Giordani, M. A., da Silva Arcas, A., Cavenaghi, D. F. L. C., de Oliveira, A. P., dos Santos, J. F., & Barros, W. M. (2018). Novel soybean-based high protein bar rich in isoflavones improves insulin sensitivity in diabetic wistar rats. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 55(1), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2753-y>.
- Flores, M., Hernán, A., Salvador, A., & Belloch, C. (2023). Influence of soaking and solvent extraction for deodorization of texturized pea protein isolate on the formulation and properties of hybrid meat patties. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 103(6), 2806–2814. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.12453>.
- Fraga, S., Parker, J. M. R., & Pocock, J. M. (1995). Amino acids, peptides, and proteins. In S. Fraga, J. M. R. Parker, & J. M. Pocock (Eds.), *Computer Simulations of Protein Structures and Interactions* (pp. 4–25). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-51499-9_2.
- Gangadhar, B., Sridhar, N., Saurabh, S., Raghavendra, C. H., Hemaprasanth, K. P., Raghunath, M. R., & Jayasankar, P. (2015). Effect of azolla-incorporated diets on the growth and survival of *Labeo fimbriatus* during fry-to-fingerling rearing. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 1(1), 1055539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1055539>.
- Gerber, P. J. & Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2013). *Tackling climate change through livestock: A global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities* (p. 115). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). <http://www.fao.org/docrep/018/i3437e/i3437e00.htm>.
- Gorissen, S. H. M., & Witard, O. C. (2018). Characterising the muscle anabolic potential of dairy, meat and plant-based protein sources in older adults. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 77(1), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002966511700194X>.
- Goyal, M., Singh, N., Kapoor, R., Verma, A., & Gedam, P. (2023). Assessment of nutritional status of under-five children in an urban area of south Delhi, India. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.34924>.
- Hamidan, M. F. R., Nadzir, M. N. H. M., Shohaimi, S., Bidin, H., & Samat, N. (2024). Effect on growth performance and nutritive value of cultivated azolla filiculoides As an alternative feedstuff for ruminant. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*, 35(3), 265–292. <https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.3.12>.
- Henchion, M., Moloney, A. P., Hyland, J., Zimmermann, J., & McCarthy, S. (2021). Review: Trends for meat, milk and egg consumption for the next decades and the role played by livestock systems in the global production of proteins. *Animal*, 15, 100287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100287>.
- Hoie, L. H., Guldstrand, M., Sjöholm, A., Graubaum, H. J., Gruenwald, J., Zunft, H. J. F., & Lueder, W. (2007). Cholesterol-lowering effects of a new isolated soy protein with high levels of nondenatured protein in hypercholesterolemic patients. *Advances in Therapy*, 24(2), 439–447. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02849913>.
- Hughes, G. J., Ryan, D. J., Mukherjea, R., & Schasteen, C. S. (2011). Protein digestibility-corrected amino acid scores (PDCAAS) for soy protein isolates and concentrate: Criteria for evaluation. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(23), 12707–12712. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203220v>.
- International Institute for Population Sciences. (2022). *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019-21: India: Volume I*. (National Survey No. 5; National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), p. 714). International Institute for Population Sciences.
- Islam, M. A., & Nishibori, M. (2016). Use of multivitamin, acidifier and azolla in the diet of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 30(5), 683–689. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0395>.
- Johnson, S. K., Thomas, S. J., & Hall, R. S. (2005). Palatability and glucose, insulin and satiety responses of chickpea flour and extruded chickpea flour bread eaten as part of a breakfast. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 59(2), 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602054>.
- Kaspar, K. L., Park, J. S., Brown, C. R., Mathison, B. D., Navarre, D. A., & Chew, B. P. (2011). Pigmented potato consumption alters oxidative stress and inflammatory damage in Men1,2. *Journal of Nutrition*, 141(1), 108–111. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.110.128074>.
- Khatun, A., Ali, M. A., & Dingle, J. G. (1999). Comparison of the nutritive value for laying hens of diets containing azolla (*azolla pinnata*) based on formulation using digestible protein and digestible amino acid versus total protein and total amino acid. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 81(1), 43–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(99\)00071-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(99)00071-1).
- Korsa, G., Alemu, D., & Ayele, A. (2024). Azolla plant production and their potential applications. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2024, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/1716440>.

- Kösesakal, T., & Yıldız, M. (2019). Growth performance and biochemical profile of azolla pinnata and azolla caroliniana grown under greenhouse conditions. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, 71(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.2298/ABS190131030K>.
- Kostrakiewicz-Gierałt, K. (2024). Plant-based proteins, peptides and amino acids in food products dedicated for sportspeople—A narrative review of the literature. *Nutrients*, 16(11), Article 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16111706>.
- Kumar, A. S., Murugesan, S., & Balamurugan, P. (2020). Feeding of azolla as a green fodder feed supplement on productive performance and milk composition of crossbred dairy cows in Theni district of Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(6), 1388–1382. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.171>.
- Kumar, G., & Chander, H. (2017). *A Study on the Potential of Azollapinnataas Livestock Feed Supplement for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation*.
- Kutzli, I., Weiss, J., & Gibis, M. (2021). Glycation of plant proteins via maillard reaction: Reaction chemistry, technofunctional properties, and potential food application. *Foods*, 10(2), 376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020376>.
- Lane, L., Yip, Y. L., & Boeckmann, A. B. (2007). Protein function analysis. In *Bioinformatics*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Latif, J. A., Putra, R. E., & Alfianny, R. (2023). Quail (coturnix coturnix japonica) fermented manure as a fertilizer to support azolla microphylla growth rate. *Caraka Tani: Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 38(1), 113. <https://doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v38i1.56805>.
- Leterme, P., Londoño, A. M., Muñoz, J. E., Suárez, J., Bedoya, C. A., Souffrant, W. B., & Buldgen, A. (2009). Nutritional value of aquatic ferns (*Azolla filiculoides* lam. And *Salvinia molesta* mitchell) in pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 149(1), 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.04.013>.
- Li, H., Prairie, N., Udenigwe, C. C., Adebisi, A. P., Tappia, P. S., Aukema, H. M., Jones, P. J. H., & Aluko, R. E. (2011). Blood pressure lowering effect of a pea protein hydrolysate in hypertensive rats and humans. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(18), 9854–9860. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf201911p>.
- Liu, T., Wu, F., Chen, K., Pan, B., Yin, X., You, Y., Song, Z., Li, D., & Huang, D. (2022). Sweet potato extract alleviates high-fat-diet-induced obesity in C57BL/6J mice, but not by inhibiting pancreatic lipases. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.1016020>.
- Liyanage, R., Han, K.-H., Shimada, K., Sekikawa, M., Tokuji, Y., Ohba, K., Sasaki, K., Jayawardana, B. C., Shimizu, T., & Fukushima, M. (2009). Potato and soy peptides alter caecal fermentation and reduce serum non-HDL cholesterol in rats fed cholesterol. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 111(9), 884–892. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.200800264>.
- Lumsangkul, C., Vu Linh, N., Chaiwan, F., Abdel-Tawwab, M., Dawood, M. A. O., Faggio, C., Jaturasitha, S., & Van Doan, H. (2022). Dietary treatment of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) with aquatic fern (*Azolla caroliniana*) improves growth performance, immunological response, and disease resistance against *Streptococcus agalactiae* cultured in bio-floc system. *Aquaculture Reports*, 24, 101114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2022.101114>.
- Magee, P. J., Owusu-Apenten, R., McCann, M. J., Gill, C. I., & Rowland, I. R. (2012). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and other plant-derived protease inhibitor concentrates inhibit breast and prostate cancer cell proliferation in vitro. *Nutrition and Cancer*, 64(5), 741–748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2012.688914>.
- Marinangeli, C. P. F., & Jones, P. J. H. (2011). Whole and fractionated yellow pea flours reduce fasting insulin and insulin resistance in hypercholesterolaemic and overweight human subjects. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 105(1), 110–117. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510003156>.
- Marinangeli, C. P. F., Kassis, A. N., & Jones, P. J. H. (2009). Glycemic responses and sensory characteristics of whole yellow pea flour added to novel functional foods. *Journal of Food Science*, 74(9), S385–S389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01347.x>.
- Mariotti, F. (2019). Animal and plant protein sources and cardiometabolic health. *Advances in Nutrition*, 10, S351–S366. <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy110>.
- McCarron, R., Methven, L., Grahl, S., Elliott, R., & Lignou, S. (2024). Fortification of pea and potato protein isolates in oat-based milk alternatives; effects on the sensory and volatile profile. *Foods*, 13(13), 2075. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13132075>.
- Meena, G. S., Dhaka, B. L., Singh, B., Meena, R. K., & Meena, K. C. (2017). Effect of Azolla as Feed Supplement on Milk Yield in Buffaloes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(12), 3490–3494. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.612.406>.
- Mendes, A. C., Saldarini, E., & Chronakis, I. S. (2020). Electrohydrodynamic processing of potato protein into particles and fibers. *Molecules*, 25(24), 5968. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25245968>.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government of India, UNICEF, & Population Council. (2019). *Comprehensive national nutrition survey (CNNS) 2016–2018* (p. 316) [National Survey].
- Mohan Gupta, S., Ballabh, B., Kumar Yadav, P., Agarwal, A., & Bala, M. (2020). Nutrients analysis of *Diplazium esculentum*: Underutilized wild wetland pteridophytes ensure food and nutritional security. *Acta Scientifci*

- Nutritional Health*, 4(11), 46–49. <https://doi.org/10.31080/asnh.2020.04.0775>.
- Mohapatra, D., Patel, A. S., Kar, A., Deshpande, S. S., & Tripathi, M. K. (2019). Effect of different processing conditions on proximate composition, anti-oxidants, anti-nutrients and amino acid profile of grain sorghum. *Food Chemistry*, 271, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.07.196>.
- Mosha, S. S., Felix, S., Manikandavelu, D., Felix, N., Tls, S. M., & Menaga, M. (2020). *Partial fishmeal replacement by azolla meal on GIFT tilapia (oreochromis niloticus) diet: Effect on growth performance, antioxidant enzymes, immunology and stress response*.
- Namra, M., Hataba, N., & Wahed, H. (2010). The productive performance of growing fayoumi chicks fed restricted diets supplemented with free fresh azolla. *Egypt. Poult. Sci*, 30.
- Nasri, M. (2017). Chapter four - protein hydrolysates and biopeptides: Production, biological activities, and applications in foods and health benefits. A review. In F. Toldrá (Ed.), *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* (Vol. 81, pp. 109–159). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2016.10.003>.
- Nestel, P., Cehun, M., & Chronopoulos, A. (2004). Effects of long-term consumption and single meals of chickpeas on plasma glucose, insulin, and triacylglycerol concentrations. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 79(3), 390–395. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/79.3.390>.
- Nosworthy, M. G., Medina, G., Franczyk, A. J., Neufeld, J., Appah, P., Utioh, A., Frohlich, P., Tar'an, B., & House, J. D. (2020). Thermal processing methods differentially affect the protein quality of chickpea (*cicer arietinum*). *Food Science & Nutrition*, 8(6), 2950–2958. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1597>.
- Oikawa, S. Y., Bahniwal, R., Holloway, T. M., Lim, C., McLeod, J. C., McGlory, C., Baker, S. K., & Phillips, S. M. (2020). Potato protein isolate stimulates muscle protein synthesis at rest and with resistance exercise in young women. *Nutrients*, 12(5), 1235. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12051235>.
- Opio, C., Gerber, P., & Steinfeld, H. (2011). Livestock and the environment: Addressing the consequences of livestock sector growth. *Advances in Animal Biosciences*, 2(3), 601–607. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S204047001100286X>.
- Papes, F., Surpili, M. J., Langone, F., Trigo, J. R., & Arruda, P. (2001). The essential amino acid lysine acts as precursor of glutamate in the mammalian central nervous system. *FEBS Letters*, 488(1–2), 34–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793\(00\)02401-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)02401-7).
- Pereira, A. L. (2017). The unique symbiotic system between a fern and a cyanobacterium, azolla-anabaena azollae: Their potential as biofertilizer, feed, and remediation. *Symbiosis*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Unique-Symbiotic-System-between-a-Fern-and-a-as-Pereira/71350bc734264676ec2d9b564ba4ee52533dfbb2>
- Perveen, S., Akhtar, S., Ismail, T., Qamar, M., Sattar, D., Saeed, W., Younis, M., & Esatbeyoglu, T. (2024). Comparison of nutritional, antioxidant, physicochemical, and rheological characteristics of whole and sprouted wheat flour. *LWT*, 209, 116679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2024.116679>.
- Pihlanto, A., Akkanen, S., & Korhonen, H. J. (2008). ACE-inhibitory and antioxidant properties of potato (*solanum tuberosum*). *Food Chemistry*, 109(1), 104–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.12.023>.
- Pramanik. (2002). Azolla (*azolla pinnata*) as a feed ingredient in broiler ration. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 1, 29–34.
- Qoms, M. S., Arulrajah, B., Ibadullah, W. Z. W., Ramli, N. S., Chau, D.-M., Sarbini, S. R., & Saari, N. (2024). Performance of azolla pinnata fern protein hydrolysates as an emulsifier and nutraceutical ingredient in an O/W emulsion system and their effect on human gut microbiota and mammalian cells. *Food & Function*, 15(12), 6578–6596. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4FO00377B>
- Recommended dietary allowances: 10th edition*. (1989). National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/1349>.
- Robert, L., Nancy, A., Rock, E., Demigne, C., Mazur, A., & Révész, C. (2006). Entire potato consumption improves lipid metabolism and antioxidant status in cholesterol-fed rat. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 45(5), 267–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-006-0594-y>.
- Rutherford, S. M., Fanning, A. C., Miller, B. J., & Moughan, P. J. (2015). Protein digestibility-corrected amino acid scores and digestible indispensable amino acid scores differentially describe protein quality in growing male Rats 1, 2, 3. *Journal of Nutrition*, 145(2), 372–379. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.114.195438>.
- S. P. Sahu, V. K. R., Sanjay Kumar, S. S., & Rajni Kumari, S. K. S. (2021). Influence of Dietary Supplementation of Dried Azolla on the Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(2), 706–712. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2021.1002.085>.
- Said, D. S., Chrismadha, T., Mardiaty, Y., & Mayasari, N. (2023). Nutritional content and growth ability of aquatic plant azolla pinnata on wastewater of catfish. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1260(1), 12012. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1260/1/012012>.
- Sakai, K., Sato, Y., Okada, M., & Yamaguchi, S. (2022). Cyclodextrins produced by cyclodextrin glucanotransferase mask beany off-flavors in plant-based

- meat analogs. *PLOS One*, 17(6), e0269278. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269278>.
- Salazar, K. W. G., Zambrano, P. D. A., & Acurio, R. (2025). Development and evaluation of the formulation of a biofertilizer based on the anabaena-azolla complex. *Enfoque UTE*, 16(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.29019/enfoqueute.1115>.
- Samad, F. A. A., Idris, L. H., Abu Hassim, H., Goh, Y. M., & Loh, T. C. (2020). Effects of *azolla* spp. As feed ingredient on the growth performance and nutrient digestibility of broiler chicken. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 104(6), 1704–1711. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13345>.
- Sanginga, N., & Van Hove, C. (1989). Amino acid composition of azolla as affected by strains and population density. *Plant and Soil*, 117(2), 263–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02220720>.
- Sans, P., & Combris, P. (2016). Corrigendum to “World meat consumption patterns: An overview of the last fifty years (1961–2011)” [Meat Science, Vol. 109 (2015), 106–111]. *Meat Science*, 114, 154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.12.003>.
- Schaafsma, G. (2000). The protein digestibility–corrected amino acid score. *Journal of Nutrition*, 130(7), 1865S–1867S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.7.1865S>.
- Seephueak, W., Sangkaew, B., Cherdthong, A., Khonkhaeng, B., & Supapong, C. (2025). Development of animal feed from azolla microphylla to increase production efficiency of goat farms in south of Thailand. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 21(1), 463. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-025-04854-1>.
- Sethy, G., Jena, D., Jena, P., Pradhan, S., & Biswas, T. (2017). Prevalence of malnutrition among under five children of urban slums of Berhampur, Odisha, India: A community a community based cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics*, 4, 2180. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20174753>.
- Shingade, P. (2023). A cross-sectional study to assess the protein energy malnutrition in children between one to five years of age in a tribal area Parol, thane district, Maharashtra, India. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.IJCMPh20151222>.
- Shobana, S., Malleshi, N., Sudha, V., Spiegelman, D., Hong, B., Hu, F., Willett, W., Krishnaswamy, K., & Mohan, V. (2011). Nutritional and sensory profile of two Indian rice varieties with different degrees of polishing. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 62(8), 800–810. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2011.585962>.
- Sihag, S., Sihag, Z. S., Kumar, S., & Singh, N. (2018). Effect of feeding azolla (*azolla pinnata*) based total mixed ration on growth performance and nutrients utilization in goats.
- Swain, B., Naik, P., Sahoo, S., Mishra, S., & Kumar, D. (2018). Effect of feeding of azolla (*azolla pinnata*) on the performance of white pekin laying ducks. *International Journal of Livestock Research*, 8(5), 248. <https://doi.org/10.5455/ijlr.20180117114123>.
- Swain, M., Blomqvist, L., McNamara, J., & Ripple, W. J. (2018). Reducing the environmental impact of global diets. *Science of the Total Environment*, 610–611, 1207–1209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.125>.
- Tanphaichitr, V., Horne, D. W., & Broquist, H. P. (1971). Lysine, a precursor of carnitine in the rat. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 246(20), 6364–6366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)61799-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)61799-5).
- Tarnopolsky, M. A., Atkinson, S. A., MacDougall, J. D., Chesley, A., Phillips, S., & Schwarcz, H. P. (1992). Evaluation of protein requirements for trained strength athletes. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1992.73.5.1986>.
- Toppo, N. (2018). *A study of malnutrition and associated risk factors among children of age 06-59 months in rural area of Jabalpur district (M.P.)*.
- Torres-Fuentes, C., Contreras, M. del M., Recio, I., Alaiz, M., & Vioque, J. (2015). Identification and characterization of antioxidant peptides from chickpea protein hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry*, 180, 194–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.02.046>.
- United Nations Department for Economic and Social Affairs. (2025). *World Population Prospects 2024: Summary of results*. United Nations.
- Widłak, W. (2013). Protein structure and function. In W. Widłak (Ed.), *Molecular Biology: Not Only for Bioinformaticians* (pp. 15–29). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45361-8_2.
- Winstead, D., Di Gioia, F., Jauregui, M., & Jacobson, M. (2024). Nutritional properties of raw and cooked *azolla caroliniana* willd., an aquatic wild edible plant. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 12(3), 2050–2060. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.3904>.
- Yamauchi, M., & Shiiba, M. (2008). Lysine hydroxylation and cross-linking of collagen. In C. Kannicht (Ed.), *Post-translational Modifications of Proteins: Tools for Functional Proteomics* (pp. 95–108). Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-084-7_7.
- Yang, Y.-Q., Deng, S., Yang, Y., & Ying, Z. (2022). Comparative analysis of the endophytic bacteria inhabiting the phyllosphere of aquatic fern azolla species by high-throughput sequencing. *BMC Microbiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-022-02639-2>.
- Yohana, M. A., Ray, G. W., Yang, Q., Tan, B., Chi, S., Asase, A., Shiyu, K., & Derrick, A. (2023). A review on the use of azolla meal as a feed ingredient in aquatic

- animals' diets. *Aquaculture Research*, 2023(1), 2633412. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/2633412>.
- Yust, M. M., Pedroche, J., Girón-Calle, J., Alaiz, M., Millán, F., & Vioque, J. (2003). Production of ace inhibitory peptides by digestion of chickpea legumin with alcalase. *Food Chemistry*, 81(3), 363–369. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146\(02\)00431-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00431-4).
- Zello, G. A., Pencharz, P. B., & Ball, R. O. (1993). Dietary lysine requirement of young adult males determined by oxidation of L-[1-13C]phenylalanine. *American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 264(4), E677–E685. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1993.264.4.E677>.
- Zhang, X., Zhang, L., Pu, Y., Sun, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, D., Wang, X., Li, Y., Guo, D., & He, S. (2022). Global, regional, and national burden of protein–energy malnutrition: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *Nutrients*, 14(13), 2592. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14132592>.