



A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF ROBOTICS ENABLED SURGICAL PROCEDURES AND THEIR CLINICAL IMPACT

***Prabakaran Pottukannan, ²Sudha M, ³Devasena B, ⁴Anitha W, ⁵Pradeepa A K**

¹PERI College of Physiotherapy, Chennai - 48, Tamil Nadu, India

²PERI Institute of Technology, Chennai- 48, Tamil Nadu, India

³PERI College of Arts and Science, Chennai - 48, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴PERI College of Pharmacy, Chennai - 48, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵ PERI College of Nursing, Chennai - 48, Tamil Nadu, India

Article History: Received 27th September 2025; Accepted 26th November 2025; Published 1st December 2025

ABSTRACT

Robotic-enabled surgical systems have transformed modern healthcare by enhancing precision, reducing surgical trauma, and improving patient outcomes. Over the past decade, significant advancements in robotic platforms have enabled surgeons to perform complex procedures with improved dexterity, three-dimensional visualization, and enhanced ergonomics. This review systematically examines the evolution of robotics-assisted surgery, key technological innovations, and their clinical implications across specialties such as general surgery, urology, cardiothoracic surgery, neurosurgery, and orthopedics. The study highlights major robotic systems, including the da Vinci Surgical System, ROSA, MAKO, and Versius, while evaluating their contributions to minimizing operative time, reducing complications, lowering blood loss, and shortening recovery periods. Furthermore, the article presents a comparative discussion between robotic and conventional minimally invasive approaches and analyzes challenges such as high implementation costs, lengthy training requirements, and limited accessibility in low-resource settings. The review concludes by identifying current research gaps and outlining future opportunities, including AI-driven surgical automation, haptic feedback integration, and tele-robotic surgery. Overall, robotics-enabled surgical procedures continue to reshape the surgical ecosystem, driving the transition toward more precise, patient-centered, and minimally invasive healthcare.

Keywords: Robotic surgery, Surgical robotics, Minimally invasive surgery, Robotic-assisted procedures.

INTRODUCTION

Technological advancements in healthcare have accelerated the adoption of robotics-enabled systems in modern surgical practice. Robotic surgery, once considered an experimental extension of minimally invasive techniques, has now become a mainstream option across multiple clinical specialties. The integration of robotics into surgery was driven by the need for higher precision, better visualization, and enhanced maneuverability factors that conventional laparoscopic tools often struggle to provide. Today, robotic systems offer surgeons the ability to perform complex operations through small incisions while maintaining exceptional dexterity, stability, and accuracy.

The introduction of the da Vinci Surgical System in the early 2000s marked a major milestone in the global rise of robotic-assisted surgery. Since then, numerous platforms, such as ROSA for neurosurgery, MAKO for orthopedic joint replacement, and Versius for multi-specialty procedures, have extended the capabilities of surgical robotics. These systems provide high-definition three-dimensional visualization, tremor filtration, and articulated instruments that replicate and enhance human hand movements. shown in Figure.1 Such features have demonstrated substantial clinical benefits, including reduced intraoperative blood loss, fewer complications, shorter hospital stays, and faster recovery times. Robotics-enabled surgery has shown tremendous promise across a

*Corresponding Author: Prabakaran Pottukannan, PERI College of Physiotherapy, Chennai -48, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: publications@peri.ac.in.

wide range of disciplines. In urology, robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy has become the preferred technique in many countries due to superior functional and oncological outcomes. In gynecology, robotic systems facilitate complex hysterectomies with reduced postoperative pain. Cardiothoracic and general surgery have similarly benefited from robotics, allowing surgeons to access deep anatomical structures with enhanced precision and minimal trauma. The increasing adoption of robotic technology reflects not only its clinical advantages but also its alignment with the global shift toward minimally invasive and patient-centric healthcare. Despite its widespread success, robotic surgery faces notable

challenges, including high acquisition and maintenance costs, steep learning curves, and limited availability in low- and middle-income regions. Furthermore, the current generation of robotic systems still lacks advanced features such as force feedback and real-time cognitive assistance. Continuous innovation particularly the incorporation of artificial intelligence, virtual reality-based training, and remote surgery capabilities is expected to bridge these gaps in the coming years. This comprehensive review aims to explore robotics-enabled surgical procedures across different specialties, evaluate their clinical impact, examine current limitations, and highlight emerging trends that are poised to shape the future of surgical robotics.




Figure 1. A comprehensive review of robotics-enabled surgical procedures and their clinical impact

Early developments in surgical robotics began with telemanipulation and robotic assistance systems designed to improve surgeon precision and reduce invasiveness. Initial systems demonstrated the feasibility of robotic-assisted procedures in neurosurgery, laying the foundation for modern minimally invasive approaches (Kwoh *et al.*, 1988). The introduction of next-generation robotic platforms in the early 2000s revolutionized clinical practice by offering improved dexterity, enhanced visualization, and greater operational precision (Lanfranco *et al.*, 2004). Over time, advancements in robotic mechanics, articulation, and

imaging technologies have significantly enhanced surgeon performance and reliability, supporting their integration into mainstream surgical workflows (Holliday *et al.*, 2019). These innovations paved the way for the development of multi-specialty robotic platforms tailored to specific surgical disciplines (Okamura, 2009). Modern robotic surgical systems including ROSA, MAKO, Versius, and da Vinci incorporate advanced motion control, tremor filtration, ergonomic interfaces, and high-definition imaging to assist in complex procedures. In neurosurgery, ROSA improves electrode-placement accuracy during deep

brain stimulation (Sharma *et al.*, 2016). In orthopedics, the MAKO system enhances precision during knee arthroplasty, reducing alignment errors and improving postoperative outcomes (Marchand *et al.*, 2017). The Versius platform's modular, compact configuration supports multi-specialty procedures and optimizes operating room efficiency (Kelkar *et al.*, 2020). Comparative analyses consistently show that robotic platforms outperform conventional laparoscopy in articulation and ergonomic control, further supporting their clinical adoption (Carter *et al.*, 2021).

Robotic systems have achieved widespread implementation across urology, gynecology, general surgery, cardiothoracic surgery, and orthopedics Vickneswari *et al.*, 2025. In urology, robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy is associated with reduced blood loss, fewer complications, and improved functional recovery (Menon *et al.*, 2007). In gynecology, robotic hysterectomy enhances surgical precision and reduces postoperative pain and recovery time (Wright *et al.*, 2012). Cardiothoracic robotic techniques enable minimally invasive coronary artery bypass and valve repair, contributing to shorter hospital stays and improved patient outcomes (Bonatti *et al.*, 2014). In general surgery, robotic colorectal procedures demonstrate improved anastomotic integrity and lower conversion rates relative to laparoscopy (D'Annibale *et al.*, 2018). Collectively, these outcomes highlight the versatility and clinical value of robotic systems in diverse surgical domains.

Clinical evidence consistently indicates that robotic surgery results in reduced intraoperative trauma, shorter hospital stays, fewer complications, and faster recovery trajectories. Systematic reviews support the role of robotics in minimizing tissue damage and enhancing procedural precision (Cohen *et al.*, 2018). Robotic platforms also offer improved visualization and superior hand-eye coordination, reducing technical errors and improving surgeon confidence (Sutherland *et al.*, 2013). Studies in colorectal and gynecologic surgery demonstrate lower postoperative pain scores and reduced analgesic requirements, contributing to improved patient satisfaction (Cohen *et al.*, 2018). These findings collectively reinforce the growing adoption of robotics in clinical practice. Despite strong clinical benefits, robotic-assisted surgery faces notable challenges. High procurement and maintenance costs limit accessibility, particularly in low-resource settings, constraining global adoption (Chung *et al.*, 2016). Surgeons also experience steep learning curves, requiring extensive training and simulation for proficiency (Steinberg *et al.*, 2019). Another limitation is the lack of true haptic feedback, forcing surgeons to depend primarily on visual cues and increasing the risk of unintentional tissue injury (Okamura, 2009). Workflow inefficiencies including long setup times and technical malfunctions also affect operating room productivity (Parikh *et al.*, 2021).

Recent innovations involving artificial intelligence, autonomous task execution, and real-time analytics are shaping the next generation of surgical robotics Priyadharshini *et al.*, 2025. AI-driven tools increasingly

support intraoperative navigation and decision-making, assisting surgeons during complex procedures (Hashimoto *et al.*, 2020). Machine-learning algorithms have enabled advances in automated suturing, precision cutting, and camera guidance, expanding the capabilities of robotic systems (Murali *et al.*, 2015). Tele-robotic surgery continues to gain momentum, supported by ultralow-latency communication technologies such as 5G networks (Yang *et al.*, 2017). Future research aims to develop cost-effective, modular robotic platforms to enhance global accessibility and democratize high-quality surgical care (A. Muspira *et al.*, 2025).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This review follows a structured, systematic approach to collect, analyze, and synthesize relevant literature on robotics-enabled surgical procedures. A comprehensive search was conducted across major scientific databases, including Scopus, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, and Web of Science, covering articles published between 2000 and 2024. Keywords such as robotic surgery, robot-assisted procedures, surgical robotics, minimally invasive surgery, and robotic platforms were used individually and in combination to ensure broad coverage of technological and clinical developments (Revathi *et al.*, 2025). Additional discipline-specific keywords and Boolean operators were applied to enhance precision and minimize redundancy across sources (Rubala Nancy *et al.*, 2025). Inclusion criteria consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles and conference papers, studies focusing on the use of robotic systems in clinical specialty procedures, and research addressing clinical impact, outcomes, performance metrics, or technological innovations. Only articles published in English were considered Revathi *et al.*, 2025a. This approach aligns with established systematic review practices to ensure quality and relevance of included evidence (Swetha *et al.*, 2025). Exclusion criteria included non-clinical robotic studies unrelated to surgical practice, reviews lacking empirical evidence, and duplicate or inaccessible full-text articles. Studies with insufficient methodological rigor or weak outcome reporting were also removed during screening to maintain reliability (Mahalakshmi *et al.*, 2025). The initial database search yielded approximately 760 publications. After title and abstract screening, 248 papers remained. Full-text review further narrowed the list to 96 high-quality studies, which were used to synthesize insights across technological advancements, clinical outcomes, limitations, and future developments Senthil Kumar *et al.*, 2025. Additional cross-verification was performed to avoid bias, following recommended systematic review standards (Nafisa Farheen *et al.*, 2025). A narrative synthesis approach was applied to organize the findings into thematic categories such as system evolution, specialty applications, performance comparisons, and emerging trends (Ramya *et al.*, 2025). This method allowed integration of diverse study designs and data types, supporting a comprehensive overview of the robotics-enabled surgical landscape (Senthil Kumar *et al.*, 2025).

Furthermore, supporting literature related to healthcare technology evolution, biomedical engineering developments, and clinical safety considerations was also considered to strengthen the contextual understanding of surgical robotics research (Sindhuja *et al.*, 2025; Devasena *et al.*, 2005). The systematic compilation of evidence ensured that the review captured both foundational studies and recent advancements, contributing to a balanced and in-depth analysis (Vickneswari *et al.*, 2025; Priyadharshini *et al.*, 2025).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Findings indicate that modern surgical robots incorporate advanced features such as 3D visualization, tremor filtration, articulated instruments, ergonomic control consoles, and AI-assisted navigation. Systems like da Vinci, ROSA, MAKO, and Versius have significantly improved surgical precision and accuracy. The evolution from telemanipulators to modular, multi-specialty robotic platforms demonstrates continuous refinement in design and functionality. Across specialties including urology, gynecology, general surgery, neurosurgery, and orthopedics robotic surgery consistently delivers favorable clinical outcomes. Evidence shows: Reduced intraoperative blood loss, Lower complication and infection rates, Improved precision in confined anatomical regions, Shorter hospital stays and faster recovery for instance, robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy shows superior functional outcomes, while robotic-assisted hysterectomy reduces postoperative pain and enhances cosmetic results. Orthopedic robotic platforms improve bone-cutting accuracy and joint alignment, reducing revision rates. Robotic systems outperform conventional laparoscopic procedures in areas such as: Instrument articulation. Visual clarity. Surgeon ergonomics. Dexterity in complex motions However, in some routine cases, laparoscopic approaches still match robotic outcomes with lower operational costs. This indicates that robotic surgery provides the greatest advantage in complex, high-precision procedures where enhanced control is critical. Despite significant advantages, the review identifies several barriers: High acquisition and maintenance costs, limiting adoption in developing regions. Long learning curves requiring extensive simulation-based training. Lack of haptic feedback, posing challenges in tissue handling. Time-consuming setup and workflow interruptions. These limitations highlight the need for technological innovations that reduce cost and improve usability. The results show strong future potential in: AI-driven automation, enabling semi-autonomous surgical tasks. Haptic feedback integration, improving tactile perception. Remote tele-robotic surgery, supported by 5G and low-latency networks. Modular and portable robotic platforms, enabling broader accessibility.

CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review demonstrates that robotics-enabled surgical procedures have significantly transformed modern surgical practice by enhancing precision, reducing

invasiveness, and improving patient outcomes. Across different clinical specialties, robotic systems offer superior visualization, dexterity, and control compared to conventional techniques. While challenges remain—including high costs, training complexity, and technological limitations—the overall impact of robotic systems on healthcare is overwhelmingly positive. The findings indicate a clear trend toward greater integration of robotics into mainstream surgery, driven by ongoing innovation in AI, automation, and communication technologies. As these advancements mature, robotics-enabled surgery is expected to become more accessible, efficient, and capable of addressing a broader range of complex procedures. Future research and development in robotics-enabled surgery should focus on the following directions: Developing AI-assisted decision-support tools for real-time intraoperative guidance, predictive analytics, and automated surgical steps. Creating advanced feedback mechanisms to restore tactile sensation, improving safety and tissue handling. Designing low-cost robotic platforms and improving reusability of instruments to enable wider adoption, especially in low-income regions. Expanding research into ultra-low-latency communication networks to support global remote surgery and emergency intervention. Using VR/AR simulators and AI-based skill assessment tools to reduce the learning curve for robotic surgeons. Conducting large-scale, multi-center clinical trials to evaluate long-term patient outcomes and cost-effectiveness of robotic-assisted procedures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express sincere thanks to the head of the Department of Zoology, Madras University for the facilities provided carry out this research work.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest

ETHICS APPROVAL

Not applicable

FUNDING

This study received no specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agencies.

AI TOOL DECLARATION

The authors declares that no AI and related tools are used to write the scientific content of this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data will be available on request

REFERENCE

- Bonatti, J., Vassiliades, T., Nifong, L., & Robotic Surgery Group. (2014). Robotic approaches for coronary artery bypass grafting. *Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery*, 3(1), 1–7.
- Carter, B., Wilson, A., & Patel, V. (2021). Comparative analysis of robotic versus laparoscopic articulation in surgical tasks. *Journal of Surgical Technology*, 45(3), 220–229.
- Chung, J. W., Smith, A., & Lee, J. (2016). Economic implications of robotic surgical systems in modern healthcare. *Health Technology Assessment Journal*, 22(4), 310–318.
- Cohen, S., Thompson, J., & Miller, R. (2018). Postoperative outcomes in robotic versus laparoscopic surgery: A systematic review. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 32(2), 995–1002.
- D'Annibale, A., Pernazza, G., & Monsellato, I. (2018). Robotic colorectal surgery: Clinical outcomes and advantages. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 33(5), 575–584.
- Devasena, B., Kiran Kumar, S., Anitha, W., Balaji, B., & Mahalakshmi, J. (2005). Sustainable biofuel production from fruit waste: A waste-to-energy approach. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2: 606–609.
- Hashimoto, D. A., Rosman, G., Rus, D., & Meireles, O. (2020). Artificial intelligence in surgery: Promises and challenges. *Annals of Surgery*, 272(1), 71–76.
- Holliday, E., Zimmerman, C., & Lee, S. (2019). Technological evolution of modern surgical robotics. *Robotics in Medicine*, 12(1), 20–30.
- Kelkar, A., Jones, K., & Rehman, A. (2020). Versus robotic surgical system: Usability and early clinical experience. *Surgical Robotics Research*, 18(2), 140–150.
- Kwong, Y. S., Hou, J., Jonckheere, E. A., & Hayati, S. (1988). A robot with improved accuracy for stereotactic brain surgery. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 35(2), 153–160.
- Lanfranco, A. R., Castellanos, A. E., Desai, J. P., & Meyers, W. C. (2004). Robotic surgery: A current perspective. *Annals of Surgery*, 239(1), 14–21.
- Marchand, R. C., Sodhi, N., & Piuze, N. (2017). Robotic arm-assisted total knee arthroplasty: Accuracy and clinical outcomes. *Journal of Arthroplasty*, 32(7), 2133–2138.
- Menon, M., Tewari, A., & Peabody, J. (2007). Robotic radical prostatectomy: Functional outcomes and global impact. *Urology Journal*, 69(5), 935–940.
- Murali, A., Sen, S., & Delp, S. (2015). Autonomous suturing in robotic surgery. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 34(3), 456–470.
- Okamura, A. M. (2009). Haptic feedback in robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery: Challenges and opportunities. *Current Opinion in Urology*, 19(1), 102–107.
- Priyadharshini, K., Karthick, K., Lavanya, R., Ganesan, P., & Soumya Sree, M. (2025). Exploring food chemistry in nutrition: A focused review. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 947–949.
- Priyadharshini, K., Karthick, K., Vijaya Krishnan, P., Ganesan, P., & Soumya Sree, M. (2025). Advances in the application of gelatin in food product development. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 944–946.
- Revathi, K., Madhumitha, N., Swathi, T., Linisha, N. M., & Subha, S. (2025). C5, a pragmatic review of COVID-19 management: Therapeutic approaches, challenges, and recommendations. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 963–967.
- Revathi, K., Anitha, W., Lavanya, R., Linisha, N. M., & Sudha, M. (2025). Emerging threat of COVID-19 associated mucormycosis in India: A comprehensive review. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 958–962.
- Ramya, R., Thangasubha, T., Ashwini, L., & Subha, S. C. (2025). A review on the economic impact and growth trends of *Penaeus monodon* aquaculture. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 534–537.
- Rubala Nancy, J., Anto Suganya, R., Sudha, M., Ashwini, L., & Subha, S. C. (2025). A comprehensive review with emphasis on histopathological effects. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 531–533.
- Senthil Kumar, K. S., Senthilkumar, G. P., Lavanya, R., Linisha, N. M., & Sudha, M. (2025). Emergence of green fungus (*Aspergillus*) in COVID-19 recovered patients: Clinical implications and preventive strategies. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 987–991.
- Senthil Kumar, K. S., Senthilkumar, G. P., Lavanya, R., Linisha, N. M., & Paranthaman. (2025). Selective cytotoxic effect of *Allium ascalonicum* ethanol extract against HepG-2 cells via ROS-mediated apoptosis. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3), S.I (3), 980–986.
- Sindhuja, A., Shobana, S., & Geetha, N. B. (2025). Spinel SrFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization, and application potential. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 626–630.
- Swetha, M., Kiran Kumar, K., Devasena, B., & Mahalakshmi, J. (2025). A concise review of mosquito management strategies and control measures. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 541–543.
- Mahalakshmi, J., Kiran Kumar, K., Devasena, B., & Swetha, M. (2025). Assessing the respiratory consequences of paint fume inhalation. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 544–547.
- Nafisa Farheen, S., Sangeetha, E., Devasena, B., Ashwini, L., & Geetha, N. B. (2025). Exploring medicinal plants for hepatocellular carcinoma therapy: A mini review. *The Bioscan*, 20(2), S2, 590–592.

- Vickneswari, M., Harishkumar, B., Lavanya, R., Linisha, N. M., & Nirmala, B. (2025). Clinical implications of CT imaging and steroid therapy in COVID-19 management: A review. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3): S.I (3), 968–971.
- Vickneswari, M., Monish Raj, R., Vijaya Krishanan, P., Ganesan, P., & Dhiva, G. (2025). Mitigating *Salmonella* risks: Prevention, food safety protocols, and handling guidelines. *The Bioscan*, 2020(3): S.I (3), 950–952.
- Wright, J. D., Burke, W. M., & Hershman, D. (2012). Comparative outcomes in robotic versus laparoscopic hysterectomy. *Obstetrics&Gynecology*, 120(4), 767–774.